

**PI – DP 4
CYMORTH DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL PROFORMA**

PRIORITY AREA OF WORK: Rights Based Work Agenda	
MAIN CORE GROUP (proposing): Participation & Involvement Core Group	
LINKS TO OTHER CORE GROUPS:	
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED AREA OF WORK TO BE SUPPORTED:	
<p>There is a need to build on existing work around rights and entitlements with both children and young people.</p> <p>Currently there is work with ongoing with a number of young people but there is a strong need to include younger children and widen the opportunity to involve more young people across Cardiff, through the use of a UNCRC value base and framework for delivering and raising children and young people's awareness of rights.</p> <p>The service would require reaching marginalised groups of young people and children to develop their understanding of their rights, to develop peer training where young people and children can train peers in understanding their rights.</p> <p>It would also include raising awareness in schools through assembly or PSE days and adopt the current toolkit 'entitle me' and develop this to make it fit for purpose. Young people will be required to be involved in establishing, developing and maintaining the rights based project that will impact on both children and young people throughout Cardiff, raising awareness and holding duty bearers to account in terms of Children's Rights.</p>	
LINK TO PRIORITIES:	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. priority 13 2. priority 14 3. priority 15 Overarching 	<p>Strong</p> <p>Strong</p> <p>Strong</p>
LINK TO CYMORTH THEMES (Please indicate all activity and process themes that apply):	
ACTIVITY THEMES:	PROCESS THEMES:
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Family Support 2. Health improvement 3. Play, leisure and enrichment 4. <u>Empowerment, participation and active citizenship</u> 5. <u>Training, mentoring and information</u> 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Disadvantaged neighbourhoods 2. <u>Partnership working</u> 3. <u>User involvement</u> 4. <u>Adding value to mainstream</u> 5. Early preventative intervention 6. Delivery from integrated centres and networks 7. <u>Inclusion</u> 8. <u>Evidence based practice</u>
HOW HAS THE NEED FOR THIS WORK BEEN IDENTIFIED?	
<p>(e.g. reference to CYP Plan / Delivery Plan / key strategy / new research)</p> <p>C & YP Plan, delivery plan, respect research commissioned by P & I Core Group & carried out by Shelter Cymru. Recent rights agenda children in Wales and WAG</p>	

<p>MAIN PROPOSED OUTCOMES FOR CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE: How would these be measured? Which outcomes in the CYP Plan do these link with?</p> <p>1. More children will be aware of their own rights & the rights of others & engage in active citizenship.</p> <p>2. . More children would have the opportunity for involvement. These numbers would be monitored.</p> <p>3. Young people will be active in increasing awareness of child rights amongst other young people and duty bearers in Cardiff. Young people become peer educators raising awareness of rights and entitlements themselves giving the message greater credibility.</p>	
<p>WOULD THE WORK BE TIME-LIMITED OR ON-GOING?</p> <p>If the work is time-limited, please give estimated date of completion</p>	<p>Time-limited 2 year contracts</p> <p>End date:</p>
<p>OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDING AND RESOURCES Could there be added value from links with other sources of funding, projects or programmes? If 'yes', please give brief details Is this other funding secure?</p> <p>Would other programmes be at risk if Cymorth funding were not agreed for this area of work? Comment</p>	<p>YES / NO</p> <p>YES / NO POSSIBLE</p> <p>Delivery of the participation agenda across the board & rights based work with C & YP would be adversely affected.</p>
<p>IS CYMORTH FUNDING CURRENTLY BEING USED TO FUND (A) SIMILAR TYPE/S OF PROVISION? Please indicate if</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a project is considered to be delivering the right service to the right target group and is recommended to be put 'on hold' if necessary • 'TUPE' arrangements might be needed if similar provision were to be commissioned from another provider 	<p>YES - BUT CURRENT PROVISION NEEDS REVIEW</p> <p>NAME OF PROJECT: HOLD? TUPE?</p> <p>Turn on the Rights (save the children) ? Possible</p> <p>The rights project has been delivering for a number of years and while effective, there is a need to review the project in order to meet the actions of the delivery plan. However there may be TUPE implications for the current worker delivering the project.</p>
<p>CONSULTATION Has there been consultation with service users about this proposal?</p> <p>Please note agencies/providers</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>AGENCIES:</p>

<p>who have been consulted</p> <p>Please note any significant issues/ indications of support</p>	<p>Core group members</p>
<p>RISK ASSESSMENT</p> <p>Level of risk to CYP and families if this work is not delivered (taking into consideration likelihood & consequences):</p> <p>Overall importance to delivery of priorities:</p>	<p>High Risk (B2)= Priority 3</p> <p>Significant</p>
<p>RECOMMENDATION:</p>	

DRAFT