

CYMORTH DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL PROFORMA

PRIORITY AREA OF WORK:	
ESTIMATED BUDGET SOUGHT FROM CYMORTH (IF KNOWN): £	
MAIN CORE GROUP (proposing):	
LINKS TO OTHER CORE GROUPS:	
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED AREA OF WORK TO BE SUPPORTED:	
LINK TO PRIORITIES:	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 2. 3. <p>Overarching</p>	<p>Strong / Medium / Weak Strong / Medium / Weak Strong / Medium / Weak Strong / Medium / Weak</p>
LINK TO CYMORTH THEMES (Please indicate all activity and process themes that apply):	
ACTIVITY THEMES:	PROCESS THEMES:
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Family Support 2. Health improvement 3. Play, leisure and enrichment 4. Empowerment, participation and active citizenship 5. Training, mentoring and information 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Disadvantaged neighbourhoods 2. Partnership working 3. User involvement 4. Adding value to mainstream 5. Early preventative intervention 6. Delivery from integrated centres and networks 7. Inclusion 8. Evidence based practice
HOW HAS THE NEED FOR THIS WORK BEEN IDENTIFIED? (e.g. reference to CYP Plan / Delivery Plan / key strategy / new research)	
MAIN PROPOSED OUTCOMES FOR CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE: How would these be measured? Which outcomes in the CYP Plan do these link with?	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 2. 3. 	
WOULD THE WORK BE TIME-LIMITED OR ON-GOING?	Time-limited / On-going
If the work is time-limited, please give estimated date of completion	End date:

RESOURCES AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION What resources would be needed to implement this proposal? Will these be recurrent or non-recurrent?	
OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDING AND RESOURCES Could there be added value from links with other sources of funding, projects or programmes? If 'yes', please give brief details Is this other funding secure? Would other programmes be at risk if Cymorth funding were not agreed for this area of work? Comment	YES / NO YES / NO YES / NO / POSSIBLE
IS CYMORTH FUNDING CURRENTLY BEING USED TO FUND (A) SIMILAR TYPE/S OF PROVISION? Please indicate if <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a project is considered to be delivering the right service to the right target group and is recommended to be put 'on hold' if necessary 'TUPE' arrangements might be needed if similar provision were to be commissioned from another provider 	NO - GAP IN CURRENT PROVISION YES - BUT CURRENT PROVISION NEEDS REVIEW YES - CURRENT PROJECT/S DELIVERING NAME OF PROJECT: _____ HOLD? TUPE?
CONSULTATION Has there been consultation with service users about this proposal? Please note agencies/providers who have been consulted Please note any significant issues/indications of support	YES / NO AGENCIES:
RISK ASSESSMENT Level of risk to CYP and families if this work is not delivered (taking into consideration likelihood & consequences): Overall importance to delivery of priorities:	High / Medium / Low Critical / Key / Partial / Minor
RECOMMENDATION:	